

## Misconceptions:

RS1 - It comes across as bad - there is zoning in place, among other various amenities that can be put in place by a developer. There are things in there however that help protect the semi-rural atmosphere of Anmore.

What is needed to help Anmore become more self sufficient in future?

I'm not hearing that we're going into financial ruin. I am happy with the services that we have and have always had. I don't think we need anything more to become self sufficient.

Local government needs to reduce spending and lower expectations. If we keep things more or less the way they are we will be happy. Big is not necessarily better. I don't think there will be any great advantage to opening things up and increasing density.

How do we as a community operate as a community? We currently have no amenities aside from a small grocery store. It would be interesting to see a coffee shop or a small drug store to open up. Having the ability for residents to live in a smaller property such as a condo or seniors residence is currently unavailable, and would be interesting to consider.

What do you see as the potential opportunities on the Anmore South land?

I'm stuck on less density. What is going to be the method of moving people in and out of that area? The developer and Village never had a solution for that. Transportation in and out is a major issue.

Why is it such a bad thing to have the RS1 designation? It seems that Anmore council is pushing for change, but most Anmore residents are opposed to midrise developments. We don't want to rush into something we may be unable to take back. People come to Anmore to live quietly, knowing that Vancouver is nearby if anything is needed.

Many residents came to Anmore to get away from the Urban designation/setting. It seems irrational to go back to it. Getting people in and out of there area poses a major challenge. It currently looks like we will be spending more money, which is not supported by many residents.

Do you have any specific priorities when you think about the strategic plan?

Keeping our options open. The removal of the right of way through Burt Flynn Park causes some concern, as the decision was made. They removed any options that were potentially down the road. It would be a mistake to close down options.

Taking a lighter footprint should be important to us. We have the ability to plan forward to have a lighter footprint and take care of our own property. We have come to the pinnacle of sustainable development, and need to think about leaving a lighter footprint.

Reluctant to see an increase in traffic in Anmore. There has already been a significant increase in speed and quantity of traffic. It interferes with the lifestyle of many Anmore residents. I'm opposed to anything that is likely to increase traffic through Anmore.

When assessing the type of designation for Anmore South, what are the most important considerations when establishing a designation for Anmore South?

It seems that a majority of Anmore residents would prefer that Anmore remain a rural designation.

One thing that was appreciated about living in Heritage Woods was that it was very diversified. It brought diversity of residents - from young families getting started to those who are climbing the property ladder. It made for a very interesting and friendly neighbourhood. I would welcome more diversified lots that allow for a more diverse community in Anmore.

Many residents have attempted to get a referendum started for many of these issues. There has been resistance to get the referendum dealing with important issues (zoning, lot size, density) in place. Council could develop a valid and reliable referendum on these issues.

How important is it for Anmore to find alternative tax sources to diversify the tax base from reliance on residential taxes? How important is it for Anmore to diversify housing options to allow both entry level for young families as well as opportunities for residents to age in their homes?

I'm all for a little more diversity. As we get older, it would be fantastic to have some smaller lots. However an apartment is not where we want to downsize (as seems to be the case in Port Moody). I'm not opposed to having something that's a little more affordable.

For many developments with increased density, it leads towards centralized methods of sewage disposal (meaning sewers). This would lead to more expenses for the Village as a whole. The marketplace should have the ability to dictate what options are available.

We should be responsible for our own services. There are many options available in other areas for more diverse housing options.

I don't think the affordable housing issue is something that Anmore can take on. There are a variety of housing options available for younger people in Anmore now.

Do you have any questions or comments about the proposed designations that haven't yet been shared? What do you want council to consider if it's moving forward in proposing an urban designation?

I have a preference for small government. The more people you get the larger government gets. I would like to keep things as they are.

I'm disappointed with the consultation process. It appears that council has a vision that they are trying to achieve at any cost, and it seems to be tilted in that direction. It seems quite one-sided, and the timeframe seems to be small. A referendum would be a better option.

With such an important issue at hand, I wish the rest of council could have been present.

If we are going down this road, I don't know if we can somehow tie this into the extension of David Avenue. If we can't get this, we should keep Anmore South as a Rural designation.